

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1. FAO No. 2666 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision:08.02.2023

Manoj KumarAppellant

Versus

Sarika Yadav Respondent

AND

2. FAO No. 240 of 2018 (O&M)

Sarika Yadav

.....Appellant

Versus

Manoj Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr.Jangvir Singh Hooda, Advocate
for the appellant (in FAO No. 2666 of 2016) and
for the respondent (in FAO No. 240 of 2018).

Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Advocate
for the appellant (in FAO No. 240 of 2018) and
for the respondent (in FAO No. 2666 of 2016).

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This order shall dispose of FAO Nos. 2666 of 2016 and FAO
No. 240 of 2018.

FAO No. 2666 of 2016 has been filed by the appellant-father
challenging judgment dated 29.03.2016, passed by the learned Family Court,
Gurgaon, whereby petition under Section 6 of the Hindu Minority and
Guardianship Act, 1956 read with Section 26 of the Hindu Marriage Act, for

custody of female Child namely Ms. Amishi Yadav, filed by him, was dismissed and he was afforded visitation rights.

FAO No. 240 of 2018, has been filed by the mother, challenging even the grant of visitation rights to the respondent.

It is submitted that these appeals are rendered infructuous as the child has now attained majority, her date of birth being 20.04.2004. Date of birth of the child is duly recorded in judgment dated 29.03.2016 as 20.04.2004.

Daughter of the parties, namely Ms. Amishi Yadav, along with her mother, are present in Court.

As date of birth of the child is duly recorded as 20.04.2004 in judgment dated 29.03.2016, it is apparent that present appeals are indeed rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

**(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE**

February 08, 2023.
s.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.