

2023:PHHC:140133-DB

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-332-2018

Date of Decision : **November 03, 2023**

JITENDER KUMAR & ORS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Anil Dutt, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR. J.(Oral)

1. Since both the contingencies, thus spelt in the verdict made by the Constitution Bench judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in case titled as “**Indore Development Authority Vs. Manohar Lal and others,**” **AIR 2020 SC 1496**, have been proven to become accomplished, at the instance of the acquiring authority concerned, therefore, the writ claim erected on Section 24(2) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, thus cannot become assigned to the present petitioners.

2. Disposed of accordingly alongwith with all pending applications, if any.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

November 03, 2023
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No