

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18017-2023
Date of decision : 21.08.2023

Sonu Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.Balraj Singh Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G. Haryana
for the respondents.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the final result-cum-announcement dated 16.06.2022 (Annexure P-13). A further prayer has been made for directing the respondents to grant 05 marks to the petitioner under Socio Economic Category for no government job in family of the petitioner and to declare him successful for the post of Male Constable (General duty) in EWS category.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 30.06.2022 (Annexure P-15) to the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission-respondent no.1 and would be satisfied in case respondent no.1 is directed to consider the same, in accordance with law, in a time

bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent no.1 would look into the representation dated 30.06.2022 (Annexure P-15) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order, in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider the representation dated 30.06.2022 (Annexure P-15) of the petitioner, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 21, 2023

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No