

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

**2023:PHHC:116439
CWP No. 17947 of 2023
Date of Decision:05.09.2023**

Manjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for the Union of India

Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to issue her passport.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dharam Singh, confirms that police has not presented its report in FIR No. 101 dated 21.05.2021, under Sections 365, 323, 506 and 34 of IPC registered against the petitioner at Police Station, Canal Colony, Bathinda.

3. Learned counsel for Union of India submits that respondents have closed file of the petitioner and if she files fresh application, it would be disposed of, in accordance with law, within six weeks from the date of filing.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statements of counsels for both sides, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file application seeking passport. On doing so, the respondents (Passport Authority) shall dispose of application of the petitioner within six weeks thereafter.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.09.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: Yes/No