

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

233

CRM-M-40390-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.08.2023

Gurbhinder Singh @ Bhinder

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Amaninder S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.260 dated 28.12.2022, registered under Sections 399/402 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act (Amendment Act) 2019, at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody for last more than 8 months. He has been falsely implicated in the present case. Recovery effected from the petitioner is of 2 cartridges of .32 bore along with Rs.3500/- from his left pocket and had disclosed his involvement in another incident, for which FIR No.88 dated 22.12.2022 had been registered. Co-accused Arshdeep Singh @ Cheene has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 24.07.2023, Annexure P-5, after having been in custody for 7 months. Charges were framed on 06.07.2023 and out of 15 witnesses, none has yet been examined. Reliance is placed on the judgment of Hon'ble The Supreme Court in

Maulana Mohd. Amir Rashadi vs. State of U.P. and others, 2012(2) SCC 382.

3. The custody certificate dated 22.08.2023, filed by learned State counsel is taken on record, as per which, the petitioner is behind bars for 8 months and 12 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner on secret information that he along with co-accused were preparing to commit dacoity. She is however unable to controvert the submissions made regarding the stage of the trial and co-accused having been granted regular bail.

5. Heard.

6. Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of **Maulana Mohd. Amir Rashadi** (Supra) had held that, "As observed by the High Court, merely on the basis of criminal antecedents, the claim of the second respondent cannot be rejected. In other words, it is the duty of the Court to find out the role of the accused in the case in which he has been charged and other circumstances such as possibility of fleeing away from the jurisdiction of the Court etc."

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for 8 months and 12 days; co-accused has been enlarged on regular bail; charges were framed on 06.07.2023 but none out of 15 witnesses has been examined so far; the trial is likely to take a considerable time, thus his further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose, the present petition for grant of regular bail deserves to be allowed.

8. As a result, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is

ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned and subject to his not being required in any other case. The petitioner shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number to the Trial Court forthwith and shall not change the same till the conclusion of the trial and in case for any reason, the petitioner seeks to change any of the aforesaid, the same shall be done only with prior intimation to the learned Trial Court, stating the reason for the same.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

9. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

10. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations

made herein are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as an opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

23.08.2023
ashok

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No