

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

392

RSA-2124-2010 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.12.2023

State of Haryana and Others

.....Appellants

Versus

Chander Mukhi

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. AG Haryana

Mr. Dharamveer Phour, Advocate for respondent No.1

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. Challenge in the present regular second appeal is to the concurrent findings of fact returned by the Courts below in favour of the plaintiff-respondent in a suit filed by the plaintiff-respondent for declaration.
2. The trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 04.12.2008 had directed the defendant-appellants to consider the case of the plaintiff-respondent to appoint her son on compassionate basis as per the instructions of the Government, on account of death of her husband, who was working as Constable in Haryana Police.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in compliance to the aforesaid, about a decade ago, her son Suresh Kumar had been appointed as Class-IV employee (Water carrier) vide order dated 01.01.2013 as there was no stay granted in the regular second appeal and has since been continuing in service, which fact is affirmed by learned State counsel who submits that the appeal may be disposed of as such.
4. Ordered accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

11.12.2023
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No