

CRM-M-46504-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46504-2021

Date of decision: 24.07.2023

Shree Gauri Shanker Mandir Prabhandak Committee/Pradeshwar Sidh Pith
Mandir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Piyush Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Viney Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate for
Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate,
for respondents No.6 to 10.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 5 to constitute a Special Investigation Team (SIT) to look into and investigate the theft, forgery and other illegal activities being committed by the private respondents.

2. Short reply dated 18.12.2021 by way of affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police (North), Jalandhar, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

4. As per the contents of the reply, an inquiry into the matter was conducted; that after checking the DVR, no evidence came to light qua

CRM-M-46504-2021

breaking of locks and theft of cash; that dispute is qua the post of President of the Committee of Mandir, and that the committee had also filed a case in the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Jalandhar, against petitioners-Sham Lal Sharma and S.K.Mittal, for interfering in the temple work and the same is pending adjudication.

5. A perusal of the record would show that during inquiry, allegations of the petitioner have been found to be false. Moreover, a civil suit has also been filed against petitioners-Sham Lal Sharma and S.K.Mittal, which is pending adjudication before the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Jalandhar.

6. No further interference is called for.

7. Dismissed.

24.07.2023

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No