

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.41568 of 2023
Date of Decision: 23.08.2023**

Gurpreet Kaur & Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Surinder Singh Siao, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for seeking the issuance of direction to official respondents No.2 to 4, not to call them (petitioners) at the Police Station/Women Cell, Malerkotla time and again, in connection with the false complaint as moved by respondent No.5, the husband of petitioner No.1 and they have also prayed for directing respondent No.4, not to proceed with the said complaint.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners in the present petition, at the preliminary stage and have also gone through the file carefully.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that several cases, civil as well as criminal, are already pending between the parties and now, respondent No.5 has filed the afore-mentioned false complaint (Annexure

P-6) before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Maletkotla, against the petitioners just to harass them and he has got two Aadhar-Cards, i.e Annexures P-7 and P-8, issued in his name, showing him to be the resident of District Malerkotla and Fatehgarh Sahib respectively and the police authorities at Malerkotla have no jurisdiction to entertain and take any action qua the above-referred complaint but they are repeatedly calling the petitioners to Malerkotla, on the pretext of conducting the inquiry in respect thereof and in these circumstances, they (petitioners) are entitled to the relief, as prayed for in the instant petition.

4. Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and on the instructions from ASI Baljinder Kaur from Police Station Women, Malerkotla, he informs the Court that the inquiry is being conducted by the competent authorities in respect of complaint Annexure P-6.

5. The question regarding respondent No.5 having two afore-said Aadhar-Cards is not to be looked into and adjudicated by this Court at the stage of dealing with the instant petition and that too, especially in the circumstances when, as mentioned earlier, the inquiry qua the complaint Annexure P-6 is reportedly continuing and it being so, it is entirely for the concerned police authorities, at this stage, to look into all the relevant aspects, including their jurisdiction to entertain and take action in respect of the above-mentioned complaint.

6. As a sequel to the fore-going discussion, it follows that the petition in hand, being *sans* any merit, deserves dismissal. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed accordingly.

23rd August, 2023
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*