

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

313

CRM-M- 42067-2022
Date of Decision: 18.01.2023

Ranjit Singh	Versus	Petitioner
State of Punjab		Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. H.S. Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Petitioner seeks bail on the ground of prolonged custody and non-availability of evidence.

Perusal of the file reveals that the petitioner has criminal antecedents as mentioned in para 10 of the petition.

Be that as it may, nature of allegations are very heinous as he tried to vandalise around very religious places like Harmandar Sahib (Golden Temple, Amritsar) and the public places as well.

Given above, the present petition is dismissed. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

18.01.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no