

**273 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38050-2019
Date of decision: 13.07.2023**

GURMEET SINGH AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Ram K. Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners are seeking to quash the FIR bearing No.142 dated 19.07.2017, under Sections 363/366-A/120-B IPC, 1860 and later on added at the time of charge under Section 376 IPC, 1860 and Section 4 of POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that in the instant case, the challan was presented with regard to the offence under Sections 363/366-A IPC and even, the charge has been framed with regard to these Sections. The penal provisions of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act have not been invoked in the instant case. The dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent No.3 after attaining the valid age for marriage has voluntarily solemnized marriage with petitioner No.1 and they have been blessed with a son. Respondent No.2 who is the father of respondent No.3 has also consented to the relationship.

3. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioners seek to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh petition for quashing on the basis of

CRM-M-38050-2019

-2-

compromise in accordance with law and submits that the interim protection already granted may be extended for a period of one month.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. However, the interim directions with regard to passing of the final order by the trial Court in terms of order dated 28.11.2019 will enure for a period of one month.

13.07.2023
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No