

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

226

CRR No.1312 of 2020
Date of Decision: 12.09.2023

Navdeep Kumar @ Deepu

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: ***HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate
 for the revisionist-petitioner.

 Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab
 for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner submits that the instant revision petition has become infructuous as the criminal case, out of which the same had arisen, has already been decided by the Competent Court below.

Learned State counsel acknowledges the above-said fact.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

12.09.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*