

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17988-2023
Date of decision : 21.08.2023

Ankur Goyal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Abhinandan Jindal, Advocate,
Mr.Kapil Mohan Singla, Advocate and
Mr.Dilmarig Nayani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ferry Sofat, Addl.A.G. Haryana
for respondents no.1 and 2.

Mr.Naresh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.K.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent no.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the stipend amount (Rs.15,000/- per month per student) which has not been released by them, along with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners have given a representation and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the present petition is treated as representation by respondent no.2 and the same is considered in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in

case, the plea by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent no.2 would consider the present writ petition as representation and decide the same within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to consider the present petition as representation of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 6 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.2 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 21, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No