

(111) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20925-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:18.04.2023

Usha Dhand

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present : Ms. Shruti Sandal, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Addl. A.G. Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Pathania, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 7.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral)

CM-6189-2023

Prayer in the application is for placing on record the compromise/settlement deed dated 17.03.2023 entered into between the petitioner and respondents No.4 to 7. The application is supported by an affidavit.

Notice of the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Addl. A.G. Punjab and Mr. Ranbir Singh Pathania, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and respondents No.4 to 7 respectively and state that they have no objection to the prayer made in the application. It is also acknowledged by the learned counsel for

respondents No.4 to 7 that compromise has been entered into between the parties vide compromise deed dated 17.03.2023.

In the light of the above, the present application is allowed and Compromise/settlement deed dated 17.03.2023 (Annexure P-12) is taken on record.

CM-6496-2023

The application is allowed as prayed for. Short reply on behalf of respondents No.4 to 7 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 7 contend that in the light of the compromise entered into between the parties dated 17.03.2023 (Annexure P-12), no further dispute remains and no reason is seen as to why the case of the petitioner for issuance of allotment letter of residential plot No.939, Sector-77, SAS Nagar, Mohali, measuring 100 sq. yards be not accepted despite the transfer letter of allocation in the name of the petitioner was done way back in the year 2012. Learned counsel further contends that because of the objection raised by respondents No.4 to 7 process has been stalled by the official respondents.

In the light of the above, we do not find any reason as to why further proceeding by the respondents on the application/request of the petitioner for issuance of the allotment letter of the plot, referred to above, on transfer as the matter has been compromised and the compromise deed has been placed on record as Annexure P-12, claim of the petitioner be not considered.

Accordingly, we dispose of the writ petition with direction to the official respondents to consider the application of the petitioner and proceed in the matter in the light of the compromise as there is no further objection by the private respondents with regard to the request as has been made by the petitioner. Let the

needful be done within a period of four weeks.

(Augustine George Masih)
Judge

(Harpreet Singh Brar)
Judge

18.04.2023

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No