

218

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-304-2018

Date of decision: 21.02.2023

SUDHIR KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. R. S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the revised answer key (Annexure P-6) as prepared under category No.16 as per the advertisement No.7/2015 dated 10.07.2015 (Annexure P-1) being incorrect.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, who had participated in the selection process has not been awarded correct marks and, therefore, has raised objections to the answer key. It is submitted that in case the correct marks are awarded, the petitioner would have come into the zone of consideration.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued, learned State counsel would submit that on revaluation, the name of the petitioner stands

recommended to the Commission vide letter dated 30.09.2019. It is submitted that since the recommendation has been made, the instant writ petition stands rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant writ petition at the present moment. However, seeks liberty to file afresh in case the appointment is not offered to the petitioner.

Dismissed as infructuous, with the liberty aforesaid.

21.02.2023
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No