

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17863-2023

Date of Decision:18.08.2023

HANISH BAJAJ

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Sourabh Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to decide his application seeking passport.

2. The petitioner was issued passport No. K7182878 on 03.12.2012 which came to expire on 02.12.2022. An FIR No.28 dated 16.03.2017, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 427 and 149 of IPC and Sections 24 and 509 of IPC added later on came to be registered against the petitioner. The petitioner entered into compromise with complainant and filed CRM-M-32408 of 2023 before this Court seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise. The petitioner applied for re-issuance of his passport vide application dated 22.06.2022. The application has not been adjudicated on account of pendency of FIR.

3. Learned State Counsel submits that as per his information challan has not been presented in aforesaid FIR, however, factum of pendency of any other FIR needs to be examined. He assures the Court that concerned police officer would submit his verification report within 2 weeks from today to passport authority.
4. Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate for the respondents who on advance notice is present in Court submits that application of the petitioner, would be decided within 4 weeks from the date of receipt of police verification report.
5. In the wake of statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.08.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>