

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-30346-2018

Date of decision : 17.03.2023

Navdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. V.P. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Yadwinder S.Bhangu, AAG, Punjab
for respondents no.1 to 5.

Mr.Anand Kaushal, Advocate for
Mr. D.S.Gandhi, Advocate
for respondents no.6 and 7.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondent authorities to conduct an enquiry and to take appropriate action against respondents no.6 and 7.

On 10.03.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.6 and 7 have jointly submitted that as per the reply filed, more so, paragraph 5 at page 41 of the paper-book, an inquiry was conducted on the representation dated 22.10.2018 (Annexure P-7) made by the petitioner and the same was conducted by the Junior Engineer (PR), Ajnala, who, vide his detailed report dated 05.04.2019, had concluded that the allegations made by the

petitioner were baseless and accordingly, the representation of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 22.04.2019 and a copy of the same has been annexed as Annexure R-5/1T along with the reply. It is stated that in view of the above-said facts, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

A written request for adjournment has been circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that he is in personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 17.03.2023.

To be taken up at 1.45 PM.

March 10, 2023”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek alternative remedy, in accordance with law, in case any grievance of the petitioner is left.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 17, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No