

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP-30299-2018
Date of Decision : 04.12.2023

DHARAM RAJPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the instant writ petition.
2. Leave granted.
3. Consequently, the extant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn but with liberty to avail the alternative statutory remedy, inasmuch as, filing of a statutory appeal before the competent Appellate Authority.
4. The said statutory appeal be raised within a week from today and till the raising of the said appeal before the Competent Appellate Authority, the parties are directed to maintain *status quo*.
5. Moreover if the said appeal is time barred, thereupon in case any application, under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 is appended with the statutory appeal, thereupon valid speaking orders be made thereons by the Appellate Authority concerned.
6. The interim order shall continue till the institution of a statutory appeal, and, thereafter on the relevant motion, it may be ordered to be continued by the Appellate Authority concerned.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

04.12.2023