

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17795-2023

Date of Decision: 29.08.2023

Baljinder Singh

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Paramjit Batta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner has preferred this Writ Petition praying for directing the respondents to grant the benefit of 4-year ACP in accordance with the instructions dated 03.11.2006 (Annexure P-2).
2. The petitioner himself has admitted that the case of the petitioner has already been taken up, and the respondents are sitting over the case and are not passing final orders of releasing the ACP.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the State submits that he has instructions to inform this Court that the case of the petitioner has already been taken up and the claim of the petitioner shall be considered and decided one way or the other in the near future.
4. This Court finds that the Writ Petition is presently premature.

5. It is expected from the respondents to take a decision at the earliest, whereafter if the grievance still remains, the petitioner would be free to come to the Court.
6. Writ Petition is disposed of with aforesaid directions.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

August 29, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |