

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

**CWP-4908-2017
Date of decision: 24.01.2023**

RAKESH MATHUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Advocate
for the respondents.

.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India raising a challenge to the impugned checking report dated 26.08.2016 (Annexure P-1), provisional order of assessment dated 29.08.2016 (Annexure P-2) as well as the final order of assessment dated 29.09.2016 and the consequential orders arising therefrom.

Counsel for the respondents contends that the petitioners would have no subsisting interest in pursuing the present petition since surcharge waiver scheme had been subequeuntly notified by the State pursuant thereto

the petitioner had made good the deposit of Rs. 18,50,000/-. He thus submits that the benefits in terms of the Surcharge Waiver Scheme have already been availed by the petitioner and there would be no subsisting grievance insofar as the present petition is concerned.

There has been no representation on behalf of the petitioner on last three dates successively. It seems that the petitioner does not intend to pursue the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JANUARY 24, 2023

Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No