

2023:PHHC:128748

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40614-2023
Date of decision: 04.10.2023

Sona and othersPetitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties. Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
103	30.04.2022	323, 427, 452, 148 and 149 IPC	P.S. Shahkot District Jalandhar Rural

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that initially the FIR in question was registered against 10 accused and out of them Sekhu @ Abhishek has expired and the present petition has been preferred by the 09 accused-petitioners only.

CRM-M-40614-2023

-2-

[3] He further contends that with the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

[4] Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nakodar, vide report dated 12.09.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[5]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by her counsel, i.e. Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate, who has filed his vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record and he does not oppose the compromise.

[6]. In view of the aforesaid report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nakodar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondent No. 1 has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[7]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed.

FIR No. 103 dated 30.04.2022 under Sections 323, 427, 452, 148 and 149

IPC, registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

04.10.2023
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |