

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

256

CRM-M-46082-2021
Decided on : 11.10.2023

Surat Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

PRESENT: Mr. V. P. S. Mithewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H. S. Sullar, Sr. DAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 327 dated 11.11.2020 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

2. Learned State counsel refers to the reply filed by way of affidavit of Surinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Kapurthala, District Kapurthala dated 07.02.2022 in specific to para 3 and 4 which read thus:-

“3. That it is respectfully submitted that a representation bearing number 06-CPO dated 19.01.2021 was submitted by the aforesaid accused Ranjit Kaur. That the inquiry of the said representation was then conducted by the then Superintendent of Police, PBI OC/Narcotics, District Kapurthala who then submitted his report bearing number INDIA 26/R/SP/PBI dated 26.07.2021 (Annexure P-3). That in his said report dated 26.07.2021 the then Superintendent of Police, PBI OC/Narcotics, District Kapurthala recommended that ASI/LR Surat Singh (present petitioner) be declared innocent in the FIR no.327 (supra).

That thereupon the then Senior Superintendent of Police, District Kapurthala approved the same and vide DDR no.33 dated 06.09.2021, the present petitioner ASI/LR Surat Singh was declared innocent in the FIR no.327 (supra).

4. That it is respectfully submitted that the Challan/final report under Section 173 CrPC shall be presented before the Ld. Trial Court shortly qua the remaining two accused Jasbir Kaur and L/ASI/LR Ranjit Kaur.”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Dilbagh Singh, who is present in the Court, has reaffirmed the aforesaid facts by reiterating that the petitioner has been declared innocent in the FIR and the challan regarding the other two accused will be presented after the prosecution sanction is received.

4. In view of the same, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

11.10.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No