

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21531-2022

Date of decision : 19.04.2023

Dr. Suresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivani Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that the order impugned herein as Annexure P-5 has already been modified by the Board of Directors with prospective effect, not retrospective effect and the present petition has become infuctuous. Thus, it is not applicable to the petitioner who came before in service.

2. Counsel for the petitioner satisfied with the above statement made by counsel representing respondents No.2 to 4 and admit that nothing survives in the present writ petition.

3. Resultantly, the present writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.04.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No