

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17644-2023

Date of decision: 16.08.2023

Akshat Pushpam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice dated 15.05.2023 (Annexure P-6) and subsequent proceedings thereon and order dated 05.07.2023 (Annexure P-18) whereby the petitioner was ordered to be suspended till 31.12.2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as per Ordinance-XXV, Clause-4(ii), the maximum period for which a student can be suspended by the Proctor is two weeks, whereas in the present case, the petitioner has been suspended till 31.12.2023 which is beyond the said period of two weeks. It is further submitted that the petitioner has filed a representation/review application dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-19) to the Vice Chancellor, Central University, Haryana and would be satisfied in

case, the competent authority of the University is directed to consider the said representation in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of the Central University, Haryana to consider the representation dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-19) within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner in the representation are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found not to be meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority would consider the case of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law.

16.08.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**