

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-41770-2022

Date of decision : 12.01.2023

Charanveer Singh Bambra @ Charanveer Singh

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-Charanveer Singh Bambra @ Charanveer Singh has filed the instant petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. seeking pre - arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.399 dated 02.07.2022 under Sections 498-A, 34 IPC registered as Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonapat.

The facts of the case are that the complainant - Poonam filed a written complaint alleging that after the death of her father during her childhood, her mother performed second marriage and she lived in the house of her maternal uncle. In the year 2012 she came in contact with a boy namely Charanveer who assured that he will provide her comfortable married life and she will not face any problem, his sister also gave her assurance. She got married with Charanveer on 05.12.2014, which was inter-cast marriage. She gave birth to a daughter on 08.03.2016. They quarrelled for 3-4 years and thereafter her husband started pressurizing her to give him divorce. She was sent to her mother's house by saying that she can continue with her studies and her daughter was kept by her husband. Thereafter, her mother turned her out from the house and was told to go back to the matrimonial home. The husband and the in-laws family were not ready to take her back. She also lived in the

house of her maternal uncle for some time. In this way she lived here and there. She wanted to live in the matrimonial home but she was not permitted to enter the house. The matter was brought to the notice of Family Counselling Centre. The husband and the in-laws family were called even then her husband is not ready to take her back and ultimately, the present FIR has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that he has already joined the investigation. He is not required for any other purpose. The ad-interim bail already granted in his favour may kindly be confirmed.

On the other hand the counsel representing the State also confirmed that as per the version of SI Raj Kumar, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for any other purpose.

I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. The FIR is registered under Section 498-A IPC and no recovery is to be effected in this case. The petitioner has already joined the investigation. The matter was also referred to Mediation and Conciliation Center but no amicable settlement could be arrived at. The petitioner is still ready to join the investigation. No purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

Considering the aforesaid factual position, the interim bail order already passed in favour of the petitioner is confirmed subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

12.01.2023
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No