

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-17689-2023

Date of Decision: 16.08.2023

Kamarpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to renew/reissue passport.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner was issued passport on 15.04.2011 which got expired on 14.04.2021. The petitioner applied for re-issuance/renewal of her passport under *Tatkal* Category. Respondent No.2 has not issued passport on the ground that passport application is under review. The petitioner has been orally informed that passport is not issued because bank has filed an application before DRT, Chandigarh under SARFAESI Act.

3. Mr. Arvind Seth, learned counsel for the respondents asserts that respondent No.2 would consider application of the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law within 6 weeks from today.
4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.08.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>