

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-43482-2020

Date of Decision: 14.02.2023

Priyanka @Barkha

...Petitioner

Versus

Vikram Kamboj and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner

Mr. Lajwant Singh Virk, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1, 4 and 5

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Lajwant Singh Virk, Advocate appeared and filed his
Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 4 and 5. The same is
taken on record and Registry is directed to tag at an appropriate place

On 14.01.2021, the following order was passed:-

*“The instant petition is filed under Section 407
of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for transfer
of protest petition No. UCR-89 of 2019 dated
08.08.2016 in case FIR No. 190 dated 22.02.2014
under Section 498-A, 406, 506, 323, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station, City
Sirsa pending in the Court of Chief Judicial
Magistrate, Sirsa to the Court of competent
jurisdiction at Mohali.*

Notice of motion for 30.04.2021.”

The matter was called twice, however, there is no
representation of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1, 4 and 5 submits that learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sirsa vide order dated 21.10.2021 has dismissed protest petition of the petitioner and accepted cancellation report, thus, present petition has rendered infructuous. This seems to be the reason of absence of the petitioner.

In view of statement of learned counsel for respondent Nos.1, 4 and 5, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

The petitioner is at liberty to move appropriate application if something survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

14.02.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>