

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRM-M-40121-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.08.2023

RANJIT SINGH @ RAJA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present : Mr. Shivam Joshi, Advocate for
Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurdarshan Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL. J.(Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.60 dated 11.03.2023, registered under Sections 379-B, 34 and 411 of IPC, at Police Station Maqboolpura, Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that he had snatched the phone of the complainant and that the said phone was recovered from the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that a compromise has been effected between the parties and in view of the compromise, a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C, bearing CRM-M-33918-2023, has been filed by the petitioner and another co-accused for quashing of the FIR, in which the parties have relegated to record the statements. As per learned counsel for the petitioner, the statements have already been recorded and no other similar case is pending against the petitioner.

3. Per contra, while opposing the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, learned State counsel states that the snatched phone along with motorcycle used in crime has been recovered from the petitioner and that the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.
4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
5. The petitioner has been in custody for the last more than 5 months. The recovery of phone and motorcycle used in the crime has already been effected. As pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the parties have filed a quashing petition before this Court on the basis of compromise wherein the parties have been relegated to record their statements and even the statements have also been recorded.
6. In view of the above and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.08.2023
Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No