

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(229) CWP-21611-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : August 01, 2023

Dal Chand .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ajay Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the petitioner is claiming that though he was going to office for performing the duties everyday but he was not allowed to perform the duties by the respondents whereas, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner never came to office for performing the duties.

Keeping in view the above stated stand of the parties, the disputed question of fact arise in the present petition, which cannot be entertained by High Court keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Civil Appeal No.2848 of 2021 titled as Shubhas Jain vs. Rajeshwari Shivam and others, decided on 20.07.2021.***

In view of the above, the petitioner is relegated to avail his remedy before the Civil Court for the redressal of his grievance.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

CM-5169-CWP-2021

As the main petition has been disposed of, present application also stands disposed of.

August 01, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No