

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(207)

CWP-4424-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : September 21, 2023

Daya Ram

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashwarya Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that the persons junior to him were regularized in service from a date prior to the date of regularization of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, certain employees who were shown junior in the seniority list, which has been issued by the respondents in the cadre of Mali, have been regularized in preference to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner is depicted at Sr. No.99 in the seniority list whereas, the candidates who are mentioned in the seniority list starting from the position No.199 onwards, have already been regularized prior to the petitioner, which fact is also clear from paragraph 5 of the reply.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that keeping in view the seniority list, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner as to whether, any person junior to him has been regularized prior to the date given to the petitioner by passing appropriate speaking order.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case all the documents which are being relied by the petitioner in the present petition are brought before the respondents by filing representation, the claim of the petitioner will be looked into and appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case it is found that the claim of the petitioner is genuine that his juniors have been regularized from the date prior to the date of regularization of the petitioner, appropriate relief will be given to the petitioner.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

8. Ordered accordingly.

9. Any civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

September 21, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No