

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17780-2023

Date of decision: 17.08.2023

Pulkit Sardana

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sankalp Gehlawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Sr. Panel Counsel
for Union of India-respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to rectify the mistake in the DMC dated 12.05.2023 (Annexure P-3) and delete the word “RT” and issue a fresh DMC/Marksheet to the petitioner, in the interest of justice.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 20.07.2023 (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.2-Board and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, respondent No.2-Board is directed to consider the said representation dated 20.07.2023 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the

petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to competent authority/respondent No.2-Board to consider representation dated 20.07.2023 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority/respondent No.2-Board would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority/respondent No.2-Board is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority/respondent No.2-Board would consider the case of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law.

17.08.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**