

105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17628-2023

Date of Decision:01.09.2023

MAHINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. H.S. Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents- Union of India.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to issue him passport.

2. The petitioner vide application dated 02.05.2022 applied for the passport. The petitioner appeared before passport authority on 02.06.2022. The police on the asking of passport authority conducted inquiry and found clean antecedents of the petitioner. The respondent has not issued passport on the ground that another passport has been issued in the name of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no other passport has been issued to petitioner and petitioner has first time applied for the passport.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that petitioner

may be directed to appear again before the authorities and competent authority would look into the matter and pass an appropriate order within 6 weeks thereafter.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the petitioner is directed to appear before competent authority on 20.09.2023 alongwith all requisite documents and on doing so the passport authority shall pass an appropriate order within 6 weeks thereafter.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

01.09.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>