

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 17653 of 2023
Date of Decision : 16.08.2023

Dharamvir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Kumar Premi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that vide impugned order dated 03.07.2023 (Annexure P-17), the respondent No. 3 has already treated the period starting from 09.06.2022 to 24.06.2022 as willful and unauthorized absence from duty qua the petitioner, whereas the application seeking medical leave for the said period is already pending consideration with the respondents, hence, without deciding the said application, passing of the impugned order is bad.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the application seeking medical leave from 09.06.2022 to 24.06.2022 has

been received from the petitioner and is still pending consideration with the department, the same will be decided within a period of three weeks from the receipt of certificate copy of this order and in case, after the decision of the same, any modification or withdrawal of the impugned order dated 03.07.2023 (Annexure P-17) is needed, the same will be undertaken by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

August 16, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No