

2023:PHHC:146496

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-39580-2023

Date of decision : 15.11.2023

Vipanpreet Kaur Gill @ Viponpreet Kaur

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Vikrant Vij, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. While issuing notice of motion on 11.08.2023, following order was passed:-

“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.7, dated 28.01.2023, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional Act, 2014 at Police Station Rajasansi, District Amritsar Rural, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that even as per the contents of the FIR the petitioner has returned an amount of Rs.11,80,000/- out of Rs.12,00,000/- paid by the complainant.

Issue notice of motion, returnable for 15.11.2023.

2023:PHHC:146496

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Hira Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 11.08.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of above, the interim order dated 11.08.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.

2023:PHHC:146496

7. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Disposed off accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

15.11.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No