

CWP- 18004-2023 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 18004-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision:21.08.2023

Surjan Singh

... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr. Ashish Midha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India by the petitioner for directing the respondents to release the arrears of revised leave encashment, gratuity and arrears of revised pay scales as per Punjab Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2021 adopted by the respondents to implement the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission, along with interest on the delayed payments to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner retired from the service from the Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation Limited on 31.03.2020. After revision of pay on recommendations of 6th Pay Commission, part payment of arrears has been released to the petitioner and approximate arrears of Rs. 8,23,034/- qua

gratuity, leave encashment, arrears of pay scale and deposit of EPF etc. have been retained by the Corporation without any reason. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 31.07.2023 (Annexure P-11), which is still pending consideration with respondents. He admits that the said representation is without complete details and undertakes to submit the detailed representations to respondent Nos.2 to 4 and that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 and Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 to 4 and raises no objection in deciding the representation but submits that the same is not with complete details.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the present writ petition be treated as representation and the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by

the petitioner in the representation, respondents are directed to decide the representation, by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

21.08.2023

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes /No