

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CRM-29411-2023 IN/&
CRM-M-42209-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.07.2023**

Atul Kumar Alias Jeely

....Petitioner

Versus

State of punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

CRM-29411-2023

1. The present application has been filed under Section 482 CrPC for preponing the date of hearing in the main case.
2. Notice in the application.
3. Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice and has no objection to the prayer made in the application.
4. For the reasons stated in the application with no objection from learned State counsel, same is allowed. Main case is preponed and is taken up on board today itself.

CRM-M-42209-2022

5. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for

quashing of FIR No.448, dated 18.12.2020, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise dated 05.09.2022, Annexure P-2.

6. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 14.09.2022, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.

7. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 16.03.2023 has been received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridkot. A perusal of the said report reveals that statements of the parties have been recorded in the present case, who have stated that the matter has been settled between them and they have no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion. It is further stated in the report that there are six accused. None of them has been declared as proclaimed offender and are not involved in any other FIR.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the case file.

9. The Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

10. Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh vs. State of**

Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code.

Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court. XXX---XXX”

11. Hon'ble The Supreme Court of India in case of **Jayrajsingh Digvijaysingh Rana vs. State of Gujrat and another**, 2012(12) SCC 401, held that where there is a partial compromise with some of the accused even then also the proceedings against the said accused should be quashed as the same would not even remotely result in his conviction.

12. In view of the afore-referred judgments and perusing the report of the trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioner and the complainant, this Court finds that quashing of FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

13. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.448, dated 18.12.2020, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC

at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of the compromise dated 05.09.2022.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

24.07.2023
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No