

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

201

**2023:PHHC:135106
CWP-9942-2016 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 13.10.2023.**

SUSHMA DEVI

..Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition is filed for seeking action against respondent No.6 who was working on the post of Instructor on the ground that respondent No.6 did not fulfill the requisite qualifications and had been appointed to the post of Instructor on the basis of forged Matriculation certificate.

Learned State counsel informs that on a complaint having been received against respondent No.6 about Matriculation Certificate being bogus, an FIR was registered against him and that chargesheet under Rule-7 had also been issued to him. He further contends that respondent no.6 has since then been retired from the service w.e.f. 30.04.2017.

Taking into consideration that respondent No.6 against whom the writ of quo warranto is being sought is no more holding a public office, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposal of the present writ petition as having been rendered infructuous, however, would have no bearing on the pending departmental enquiry/criminal proceedings, if any, against respondent No.6.

**October 13, 2023.
raj arora**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No**