

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40429-2023
Date of decision: 01.09.2023

ASHOK KUMARPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Mohit, Advocate for
Mr. Jasmeet Singh Ghumman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0069	17.05.2018	447, 427 and 34 of IPC	Goraya, District Jalandhar Rural

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 18.08.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner has appeared in the trial Court and furnished the requisite bail bonds.
3. Learned State counsel has not disputed these facts and has intimated, on instructions from SI Jagdish Raj, P.S. Goraya, District Jalandhar Rural, that the petitioner had appeared in the trial Court on 21.08.2023 and furnished the requisite bail bonds in compliance to the order dated 18.08.2023.

CRM-M-40429-2023

2

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel and keeping in view of the fact that in compliance to the order dated 18.08.2023, the petitioner had already appeared in the trial Court and furnished the requisite bail bonds, therefore, the interim bail granted vide order 18.08.2023 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, that the petitioner will come and join the investigation as and when so required; will not tamper with the evidence and will not leave the country without prior permission.

5. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

01.09.2023
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |