

226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRA-S-1715-2022

Date of Decision:08.02.2023

KARANVEER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Hamid Hussan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

On 09.11.2022 following order was passed:

“Mr. Sukhjinder Singh Behl, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed Vakalatnama in Court, which is taken on record.

Instant appeal has been filed under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, (for short “SC/ST Act”) against order dated 25.08.2022 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala, whereby anticipatory bail of the appellant was dismissed in case FIR No.37 dated 16.06.2022 registered under Sections 323, 498-A, 506 of IPC, 1860, and Section 3 of the SC/ST Act, at Police Station Women, Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

In compliance of order dated 12.09.2022, counsel for the appellant has handed over an amount of Rs.25,000/-, in cash, by way of litigation and travelling expenses to the complainant, who is present in person with her counsel and she has been duly identified by him.

On a joint request made by counsel for the private parties, matter is referred to the Mediation and Conciliation

Centre of this Court.

Parties are directed to appear before the Centre on 17.11.2022 at 10.00 am.

List on 31.01.2023 to await the report of the learned Mediator.

In the meanwhile, arrest of the appellant shall remain stayed till the next date.”

The parties appeared before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, however, mediation could not be fructified.

Learned State counsel submits that petitioner has already joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim protection granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.11.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and Trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.02.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>