

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-23960-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.04.2023**

Devinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Raman Sharma, learned counsel for respondent No.2-Corporation at the outset has submitted that the respondent-Corporation has already decided to withdraw the advertisement for allotment of petrol pump *qua* the present site and will also take a decision as to whether it should be freshly advertised or not in due course.

Mr. Parminder Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2-Corporation, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition and also submitted that since the petitioner has been disqualified in the present case, in case the fresh advertisement is issued then

disqualification made in the present allotment process should not prejudice to the petitioner in case any subsequent application is made for the allotment of the same location.

In view of the aforesaid factual position and the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner for withdrawal of the present petition, the same is accepted.

The present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Needless to say that in case the respondent-Corporation proposes to issue a fresh advertisement in due course then the decision taken qua the petitioner with regard to his eligibility in the present case will not prejudice his rights in case the petitioner applies afresh in pursuance of any fresh advertisement if any.

11.04.2023

Bhumika

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |