

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**2023:PHHC:135716
CWP No.29195 of 2018
Date of Decision:18.10.2023**

Pramila Devi

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr.V.P.Sangwan , Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the Union of India-respondent No. 1

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3

Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No. 4

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of letter dated 19.09.2016 (Annexure P-13) whereby allotment of LPG Distributorship of the petitioner has been cancelled and security has been forfeited. The petitioner is further seeking cancellation of allotment of respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that petitioner was not even issued LOI. She was found successful in draw of lots. During field verification, the land offered by petitioner was not found suitable. The petitioner was granted sufficient opportunity to offer alternative land.

The respondents allotted LPG distributorship to respondent No. 4 who is operating the pump since 31.08.2017.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid facts.

4. The respondents have allotted LPG distributorship to respondent No. 4 who is working since 31.08.2017. It is purely a contractual matter. The respondent-corporation as per its wisdom has allotted LPG distributorship to respondent No. 4. This Court does not find any glaring irregularity or illegality warranting interference invoking writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. In view of the above facts and finding, the present petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.10.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: Yes/No