

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101+205 CWP-29167-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 17.10.2023

Bimla Petitioner
Versus
Union of India and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.S.K.Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4, at the outset, submits that retail outlet stands allotted to respondent No.5 and it is operating since 28.06.2019. The pump was installed after obtaining NOC from Deputy Commissioner. At this belated stage, it would not be just and fair to cancel allotment to respondent No.5.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the said fact.
3. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.
4. Pending application, if any, shall also stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.10.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No