

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2916-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.01.2023**

Naveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

T.C. Gupta

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

**Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

**On 13.02.2019, following order was passed in CWP-21923-
2018: -**

*“Learned State counsel states that the vehicle could not be
registered solely on the ground that the purpose for
operating the bus has not been reflected in the application.
As and when the needful is done the necessary permission
will be given to the petitioner.*

*In view of above, the present petition is disposed of.
As and when the application along with necessary*

documents is filed by the petitioner, the necessary permission will be given to the petitioner if the application is found to be complete in all respects.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vehicle of the petitioner is not registered by the respondent.

A detailed reply has been filed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Rewari, in which a specific stand is taken on that the petitioner has purchased BS-III based bus on 03.01.2016 and prior to the date of purchase, sub Rule 15(a) of Rule 115 of the Central Motor Vehicle Rules, 1989 came into force w.e.f. 01.04.2010 in NCR and other metropolitan cities regarding implementation of Mass Emission Standards for Bharat Stage-IV engine. It is further stated that since bus of the petitioner was BS-III, same was not registered.

Accordingly, no willful disobedience is made out.

Dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

17.01.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No