

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17752-2023

Date of decision: 17.08.2023

Prem Dutt

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take decision on the representation dated 02.04.2023 (Annexure P-4) with respect to alteration of date of birth in the service record of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given a legal notice dated 13.05.2023 (Annexure P-5) to respondent Nos.1 and 2 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 13.05.2023 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the

petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority would consider the said legal notice dated 13.05.2023 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority to consider legal notice dated 13.05.2023 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority would consider the case of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law.

17.08.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**