

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36934-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.07.2023

RAVI SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF U.T AND ANR

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Ankit Choudhri, Advocate for
Mr. Jaipal Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Vinod Kanwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of FIR No. 12 dated 20.01.2017 under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 66-C and 66-D of Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Sector 26, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 06.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2]. The parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 06.03.2018 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, vide report dated 20.03.2023, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by the counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 12 dated 20.01.2017 under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 66-C and 66-D of Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Sector 26, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise *qua* the present petitioners.

[6] Application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
(JUDGE)

24.07.2023

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No