

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20422-2022

Date of Decision:02.08.2023

JOGINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Shweta Nahata, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of seizure memo dated 13.06.2022 (Annexure P-13) whereby passport of the petitioner despite permission granted by Trial Court has been impounded.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents at the outset submits that passport of the petitioner has been revoked, thus, in the absence of setting aside of revocation order, petitioner cannot be issued passport.

3. On being asked, learned counsel for the respondents failed to produce copy of revocation order.

4. The petitioner has right to challenge revocation order and in the absence of its copy, the petitioner cannot be expected to avail his remedy in accordance with law, thus, respondents are directed to supply

copy of revocation order to petitioner within week from today. The copy may be served upon learned counsel for the petitioner or to petitioner at his residence in India.

5. The petitioner is at liberty to avail remedies against revocation order in accordance with law.

6. Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.08.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>