

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-2388-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.04.2023

Anand Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Shashank Anand and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the undertaking given on behalf of the respondents on 09.12.2019 that if some fresh documents or fact is presented, same will be considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction was issued to the Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd., Sonapat, whereas the speaking order is passed by XEN, Operation Division, DHBVN, Narwana.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in fact, the petitioner is serving at Narwana, therefore, record is available with the said officer and by passing a speaking order dated 18.02.2021, claim of the petitioner stands rejected.

In view of the above, present petition is rendered as infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

27.04.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No