

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

247

Date of Decision: 14.09.2023.

(1)

CWP-22662-2021 (O&M).

RAJESH KHANDELWAL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

(2)

COCP-914-2022 (O&M).

RAJESH KHANDELWAL

... Petitioner

Versus

P.K. AGRAWAL AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

**Present: Mr. Ankur Sindhar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

**The present order will dispose of the above noted two petitions
i.e. CWP-22662-2021 titled Rajesh Khandelwal Vs. State of Haryana and**

Others and COCP-914-2022 titled Rajesh Khandelwal Vs. P.K. Agrawal and others.

Prayer in the writ petition is for issuing directions to the respondents to implement/comply with the order dated 27.09.2021 (Annexure P-11) to ensure protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that above stated contempt petition has also been filed wherein reply by way of affidavit of Priyanshu Dewan, Deputy Superintendent of Police, HPS, Hisar-I, District Hisar on behalf of respondent No.3 has been filed.

He submits that in paragraph No. 08 of the reply on merits, it has been averred by the respondents as under:-

“..... It is pertinent to mention here that the earlier directions given by the predecessor of respondent No.2 are still in operation and they are not vacated.”

It is submitted that in view of the aforesaid submissions, he has instructions not to press the present petition any further.

Accordingly, the writ petition as well as the contempt petition, both are disposed of as not pressed, at this stage.

Pending misc. applications, if any, in both the petitions shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

A photocopy of the order be placed on the file of connected case.

September 14, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No