

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213-2

CWP-9185-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.11.2023

Yog Raj Garg

..... Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of Patiala and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Raman Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 09.05.2013 (Annexure P-6) whereby he was punished and order dated 18.09.2013 (Annexure P-8) and 24.03.2015 (Annexure P-10) whereby his appeal and review have been dismissed.

2. By the impugned order dated 09.05.2013, the petitioner was awarded punishment of reduction of pay by one stage for one year. The said order resulted into loss of paltry amount may be less than Rs.25,000/-. The petitioner has already retired and disputed question of facts are involved. The punishment is not affecting pension or other benefits of the petitioner.

3. Considering the amount of punishment and the fact that the petitioner has already retired, this Court does not find it appropriate to

invoke its writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

5. Disposed of.

23.11.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>