

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-2862-2018

Date of decision : 13.02.2023

Lakhwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaivir S. Chandail, Additional Govt. Pleader for
U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate
for Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 has produced copy of the allotment letter dated 06.07.2020 of Flat No.2006 in Maloya, U.T. Chandigarh under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme-2006. Counsel further states that even the possession had been handed over to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner is not present.

The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the writ petition, in case, the statement so recorded of the counsel for respondent no.2, is incorrect.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

13.02.2023

R.sharma

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.