

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

235

CWP-21146-2020
Date of Decision: 20.02.2023

JORAWAR SINGH BHINDER AND ORS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE COMMISSION FOR WOMEN & ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. P.S. Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus restraining the respondent No.1 from passing any order/direction and from initiating any judicial proceedings in respect of cases against the petitioners, which are already pending in the Judicial Courts, who have already taken cognizance therein.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 submits that he has instruction to make a statement that the respondents No.4 and 5 shall not press their complaint/application preferred by them before the Punjab State Commission for Women since they have already filed a complaint against the

petitioners in the concerned Court and the petitioners have already been summoned therein. He further contends that the respondents No.4 and 5 have already taken recourse to the alternative effective remedy and shall pursue the same in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

20.02.2023
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*